

| | | |
|--|---|---|
| AARON ROCCAFORTE <i>Council Member, Place 1</i> | DAVID RUTLEDGE <i>Mayor</i> | PATTY COLLINS <i>Council Member, Place 4</i> |
| MIKE REED <i>Mayor Pro-Tem / Council Member, Place 2</i> |  | TERRI GAUTHIER <i>Council Member, Place 5</i> |
| TAMMI FISETTE <i>Council Member, Place 3</i> | | SHERBY DIXON <i>Council Member, Place 6</i> |

CITY COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES
CITY OF BRIDGE CITY
July 19, 2022

The City Council of Bridge City met in a meeting on Tuesday, July 19, 2022, at the City Hall of Bridge City, 260 Rachal, Bridge City, Texas.

1. CALL TO ORDER

Mayor David Rutledge called the City Council meeting to order at 6:00 p.m.

CITY COUNCIL PRESENT:

Mayor David Rutledge
Council Member Aaron Roccaforte
Council Member Tammi Fisette
Council Member Terri Gauthier
Council Member Sherby Dixon

CITY COUNCIL ABSENT: Mayor Pro-Tem Mike Reed
Council Member Patty Collins

CITY STAFF PRESENT:

City Manager Brent Walker
City Secretary Jeanie McDowell
City Attorney Paul Fukuda
Chief Tod McDowell
Finance Director Shelley Fields
Utility Superintendent Mike Die

2. INVOCATION

Following the invocation given by Council Member Sherby Dixon.

3. PLEDGE TO THE UNITED STATES FLAG AND THE STATE OF TEXAS FLAG

Mayor Rutledge led in the pledge of allegiance to the United States flag and to the State of Texas flag. At the conclusion of the pledges, Mayor Rutledge thanked everyone for coming to the meeting.

4. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA

Council Member Gauthier made a motion to approve the agenda, seconded by Council Member Fisette.

With no changes, discussion, or alterations, Mayor Rutledge called for a vote.

MOTION CARRIED.

Ayes: Mayor Rutledge, Council Members Roccaforte, Fisette, Gauthier, and Dixon.

Noes: None.

Absent: Mayor Pro-Tem Reed and Council Member Collins.

5. PRESENTATION

(a) Enterprise Fleet Management, Inc will present to the City Council a program that would manage the City's vehicle fleet and save on the cost of maintenance

Brooke Fortenberry
Enterprise Fleet Management, Inc

Colby Guy
Area Manager

Ms. Fortenberry said she worked with many commercial clients in government agencies in Texas and across the nation. She helps the agencies lower the overall cost of owning and operating their fleet, while helping them do more with less of their current capital budget and also driving down the operational budget. She said initially she has met with the Chief, Mike Lund and the City Manager with taking a deep dive with the fleet. She said currently the City has roughly 29 vehicles in the fleet. She said as of now 38% of the vehicles were over the age of 10 years old. She said the City has seen higher fuel cost, higher maintenance cost, and they tend to increase on the reliability issues and also with safety as well. She said looking at the average age, what they have found it takes about ten years for the vehicle to enter and exit the fleet. She said with them taking this deep dive approach of looking at the fleet what they have found is that with Enterprise partnering they could help with utilizing an open-ended equity lease program to help with doing more or less with the current capital budget. She said by also replacing some of those aged vehicles they have found they have the ability to increase fuel economy and fuel efficiency. She said it would also help reduce the maintenance expense and some of the outsourcing could help stimulate local economic growth. She said not to mention by proactively replacing these vehicles the City could also see more back when it comes to reselling the vehicles which ultimately it is going to help lowering operational cost and increase in safety. She said overall what that looks like financially for the City, by partnering with the Enterprise, the City should see about 13 percent increase in fuel efficiency, 70% decrease in the maintenance expense and then also by leveraging their funding mechanisms, they have the ability to replace the vehicles faster for the City and more frequently and help to be sustainable. She said in doing that the City could get more money back when it comes to reselling these vehicles, which ultimately it would help with lowering the overall cost as well

and offsetting some of the cost the City is seeing with the maintenance and fuel. She said not to mention some things to add on to that would be that the City of Bridge City would have a dedicated account team with Enterprise which would ultimately help them to continue to make those decisions, to help out with the fleet and also, they would have access to all of Enterprise's technology as well that also give them visibility and data to know what they are spending their cost on. She said not to mention she thought one thing that is probably the most important is with it being about 38 percent being over ten years of age it doesn't have some of the safety features that are now in the newer vehicles. She said it helps the City be safer, the people driving around in the vehicles safer, the employees and even some of the constituents. She said that is the program in a nutshell, love to open it up to questions if the Council has any. Mayor Rutledge asked Ms. Fortenberry to explain the reduction in maintenance cost for the City.

Ms. Fortenberry said so by Enterprise essentially getting these vehicles at the right time, what the Council would see they have the ability to lower the operational expenses. She said what tends to happen when you hold a vehicle longer the City would see an increase in the operational expenses with the maintenance and fuel. She said by getting out of it a little sooner what the City would find is you don't incur those cost. She said also Enterprise has the ability to outsource some of the maintenance and they have the ability to put a fixed maintenance program on some of the vehicles as well to help track that maintenance, so you have more insight of what you are spending.

Mayor Rutledge told Ms. Fortenberry about police vehicles, they are of no value when the City replaces them, so there is not a lot of equity in them compared to the other vehicles in the City's fleet. He asked does this program address this.

Ms Fortenberry said the police departments usually love the program and the reason why is because one they get newer vehicles by utilizing the funding mechanism, you don't have to pay for the entire vehicle they only pay for what they use by using the leasing option. She said so by that they are able to get out of the vehicle a little sooner and replace them more frequently. She said that also helps with the overall condition of the vehicle. She said if you are getting out of it opposed to 80 to 100 miles opposed to 150 to 200 the vehicle is going to be a little newer. She said that would come into play with that vehicles condition. She said not to mention each vehicle would be on a case-by-case basis. She said Enterprise understands utility is not the same as the police department. She said when Enterprise is structuring the leases and accessing right time to get out of the vehicles it would be based off what is the job for that vehicle. She said utility and police are completely different and would be looked at and accessed completely differently as well.

Mayor Rutledge asked when the City maintains a vehicle whether it is a police vehicle or one of the other in the fleet, the maintenance cost is still on the city, it is not part of the lease agreement.

Ms. Fortenberry said the City would still pay for the maintenance, but Enterprise has two different programs. She said they have a full maintenance program and that is a fixed monthly budgeted inflation proof program. She said what you sign up for today is what it would be in four years. She said basically what they do is fix the maintenance cost of what is going to be spent on that vehicle for the contracted mileage of the lease. She said that would be a fixed budgeted maintenance rate that you would expect. She said that is only for the utility vehicles and for the police vehicles, and you are right they are driven differently, and they little bit more exposure because of the job their accomplishing. She said Enterprise has the ability to put

them on their maintenance program that is about \$7.00 per month. She said what happens they have the ability to track the maintenance that is being done, the ability to have their NSD techs looking and overseeing the maintenance that is being done and also, they have the ability to help the City by using their labor rates to local taxpayers as well. She said Goodyear, Firestone and a couple of ma and pop ones are also used as well. She said for the drivers it looks no different, the difference is how the City sees it on the invoice at the end of the month. She said overall by getting out of the vehicles a little sooner is where the City would see the biggest decrease. She said going from a fleet that is 10 years of age and by being with Enterprise the fleet is going to be under 4 years of age and that is really where you are going to see the biggest difference with the maintenance piece.

Mayor Rutledge said the City doesn't want to cut out the local vendors. He said he wants to keep our vendors in business. He said that would be high on the City's priority list for this type of agreement.

Ms. Fortenberry said it was optional. She said it is not one size fits all so if there is a segment of the fleet that makes sense to put them on the maintenance program you can and if there is a segment to not to put on it, which some of the other government agencies do that. She said also there are instances where it makes sense to, some people put all the light duty and police vehicles on the program and focus on the heavy duty and the sweepers, the bigger vehicles and equipment for the City as well.

Mayor Rutledge said this is a lease, but it is not like a typical lease in that they penalize you for going over mileage every month.

Ms. Fortenberry said you are exactly correct, it is an open-ended equity lease, so really the way it functions is it works kind of like a traditional finance there is no wear and tear penalty, and there is no mileage penalty. She said you can imagine they do a lot of business with gas companies, and they probably drive the vehicles way worse than you can imagine a police department drives them. She said there is no penalties to it. She said the only affects is how the vehicle resells, so she would say at the end of this term it is going to be worth this much money based off this many miles you drive a year. She said if you drive more and the vehicle comes back in worse condition it is going to sell for less, but if it comes back with less miles and better condition it going to sell for more. She said at the end of the day all of the equity is the City's. She said the City would have all rights of ownership and retain all equity in the finance. Mayor Rutledge asked by purchasing these vehicles and as a municipality, we are bound by state laws to go through purchasing processes how would this program address this.

Ms. Fortenberry said they have already been betted out through Sourcewell, Tips and Taps, Twist Partners and United so they have already done the due diligence partnered process through them. She said they also have the ability to use some of the government dealerships as well and locally.

Mayor Rutledge said he thought the City used like HGAC.

Ms. Fortenberry said she thought the City was part of Sourcewell.

Mayor Rutledge asked by state law was it an approved source.

Ms. Fortenberry said their contract has been betted with them and could send it over to Mr. Walker if the Council would like to have further information.

Council Member Fissette said she had the mileage limit on her list as well. She asked at the end of the lease who sells the vehicles, the City or Enterprise.

Ms. Fortenberry said Enterprise would sell the vehicles. She said Enterprise rent a cars is their parent company and they basically allow their clients to plug back into that infrastructure. She

said they sell about one million vehicles per year. She said they are literally taking the same vehicles they sell and rely on to sell the City with them traditionally they see about ten percent above industry average when it comes to reselling vehicles. She said you can imagine this market they are seeing a little bit more which their clients are really excited about that. Council Member Fisette said there is no City purchase of anything if by chance they don't sell it. Ms. Fortenberry said no, essentially the way it works is it would be set up on a residual book value, it is going to write it down to a number and then at the end it would be that Enterprise would sell the vehicle for the City and we would settle up the difference that is owed and what it sold for and then the rest of the equity is the City's.

Mayor Rutledge asked if it doesn't resell of the blue book value, it goes to auction and if it comes in under that then the City would have to come up with difference of the auction price and the blue book value price.

Ms. Fortenberry said she wanted to say there is some contingency for government agencies to drive a certain amount of mileage per year on that. She said but what she would say when they set the lease up they find ways to make the City equitable in the finance as soon as possible so the City would not be in that position. She said to be honest if they wrote bad leases and everyone had to owe, then people wouldn't want to do business with them. She said they set the leases up to try to make the City equitable early in the finance as possible. She said she believed there were some contract language that actually says if you are driving a certain amount of mileage a year and you don't meet it then there is an opportunity to where you would not owe, but... She said her area sales manager was on his way and he may be able to add some clarification on that.

Council Member Fisette said she noticed on Ms. Fortenberry's chart that she put 29 vehicles in the City's fleet and in 2022 Enterprise would replace 18 of those.

Ms. Fortenberry said yes, so when Enterprise initially looked at this, this is really taking a 30-thousand-foot view based off certain criteria and mileage thresholds and age requirements. She said they were essentially saying any vehicle over the age of eight years or 80 thousand miles they would recommend to replace in fiscal year 2022, but the reality is the City drives the boat so the City says 18 is not the number, but after getting a little more granular the number is actually 16 that they would do for the year. She said if you would actually look at the next page you would find if you were looking at the fleet mix of it and if you look where it says fiscal years 2022 fleet size is 29 vehicles and the annual needs are 18 that is based off this profile. She said Enterprise was estimating the total would be about \$145,000 to replace those 18 vehicles by utilizing the open-end equity lease. She said the City would only spend approximate \$30,000 of cash outlay to replace almost four times the amount of vehicles from leasing them instead of paying for them with cash.

Council Member Fisette said you said it would cost the City approximately \$145,000 to replace 18 vehicles.

Ms. Fortenberry said yes from a straight capital outlay.

Council Member Fisette asked if the City was to consider Enterprise the money the City pays in what exactly would the City get for their money. She said she sees Enterprises has a computer system, she sees where they have a computer system that tells you its time to replace registration on vehicles, what exactly would the City be paying for, are we paying for to tell the City it is time to renew the registration on the vehicles or we paying for a computer system to tell us if the City did not go with Enterprises maintenance plan and if the City didn't do anything else is that what we are paying for is the computer system to keep us on track.

Ms. Fortenberry said that would be one of the added benefits, but she thinks the largest thing is helping with the funding mechanism. She said it helps the City replace vehicles faster using less or maximizing the budget to do so. She said it would help with the funding mechanism and help to replace the vehicles more frequently and actually see the decrease in that cost. She said one of the biggest things is the vehicle resell. She said government agencies get a phenomenal buy when it comes to buying vehicles just by the incentive, so if the City is able to capitalize on getting out of the vehicles at the right time and then utilizing Enterprises infrastructure since they do buy and sell the most vehicles in the nation and the world that is where Enterprise can actually help the City find savings and offsetting the cost that they are seeing. She said ultimately what a lot of government partners do is they start putting in different areas of the city and putting it back in different budgets to help with streamlining and overall getting a healthy budget for the city. She said the biggest thing is resell and helping with the funding mechanism and in turn it helps to drive down the rest of the cost.

Council Member Fissette asked when reselling does Enterprise get a portion and does the city get a portion or does the City get the full resell value.

Ms. Fortenberry said yes, the City retains all the equity when Enterprise resells all the vehicles, the only thing you settle up at the end is the residual book value and then there is a \$400 service fee just to terminate the vehicle for the City. She said for Enterprise to sell it on the City's behalf there is a \$400 charge and that comes out of the equity.

Ms. Fortenberry asked Cobey Guy, Area Manager for Enterprise, the one question that she could not answer was at the end of the lease if Enterprise does not hit the number they resell with residual book value, does Enterprise and the City settle up at the end.

Mr. Guy said there is a couple of different options. He said the City of Houston is looking at a few of their different departments that are putting quite a bit of equipment on the vehicles, they are setting them up on a 1 year, 3 years, and a 4-year lease for different departments. He said based on where their resell is going to land and they would actually potentially extend out the leases on this. He said they may extend those 5, 6, or 7 years. He said the way the lease works, the City could pay it down just like a finance and every month when you are paying down the vehicle you are paying down the actual balance of the asset. He said once it is paid off, the City would not continue to make the payments into it. He said the City could settle it up right then and Enterprise would sell the vehicles for the City and pull the equity out of it right then, you could extend it and run it longer, or like what he has seen the City of Houston is doing they just had a three quarter million dollar win by taking advantage of the market by selling their vehicles early. He said earlier than what their lease depicted and settling up earlier on and capturing the resell. He said the best way he could describe it is just like the finance on your personal vehicle right now, you open it up and every month you would be paying down the principle on it, it is no different than that. He said the City would keep all rights to the vehicle.

Mayor Rutledge asked the difference between the blue book value of a vehicle, let's say the market is flooded with half ton pick up trucks, and it is the end of the four-year period for some of the City's half ton pick up trucks, so they are not going to bring as much on the market at the end of that four-year period. He said the blue book value would be less than originally thought it would be four years before, so it does not sell for that. He said there is a difference in what the City thought they would get versus what the City would get, is that correct.

Mr. Guy said they projected it, so to your point, the market itself whatever the vehicle actually brings in when Enterprise goes out and sales it and if it less than they initially thought or what

they projected then yes, the resell value coming back to the City would less. He said before Enterprise ever pulled the trigger and the City is stuck with that so to speak they would actually give the City eight years of the market value on the vehicle. He said Enterprise sells 1.2 million vehicles a year. He said they would tell you before the City ever gets to the point they are actually selling the vehicle and offloading it, hey here is where the market is today and if you sell that vehicle here is your cost going forward for the City overall. He said if you don't sell the vehicle and the City wanted to extend and keep running it out and keep paying down the same vehicle you have and don't replace it here is where your cost going forward would be. He said that is really a better depiction of the partnership overall.

Council Member Gauthier asked if there would ever be a chance the City would owe Enterprise money at the end if it doesn't meet blue book value.

Mr. Guy said not a realistic chance, so the vehicle is obviously totaled and worth zero then yes, but they leave such a cushion on these vehicles.

Council Member Fisette said she knows the day in age we live in today it is very hard to get vehicles and you are saying you are replacing 18 vehicles this year that would be possible.

Mayor Rutledge said the City may be paying a premium because of the availability of vehicles, it is a supply and demand factor.

Mr. Guy said compared to the public the City is still getting a better buy but it is premium for what City's historically paid for vehicles. He said for example GM when they open up their order bank for the 12 hours for the entire year, Enterprise would plan ahead of time to get the City into the order bank. He said if the City needed a vehicle quick they would reach out to their dealer network across the country.

Mayor Rutledge said if the City totaled out a police car and it needed replaced, Enterprise could replace it.

Mr. Guy said they would not have it the next day, but yes, they would find something off a dealer lot. He said they are GM, Ford and Chrysler's biggest customer in the world, they buy more vehicles from them than anyone in the world.

Council Member Fisette asked if there were any cities that went into business with Enterprise and then decided it was not for them and got out of it.

Mr. Guy said there have been some smaller companies that have done that.

Council Member Fisette asked if this was for big cities.

Mr. Guy said what he meant by small companies they have 10 vehicles.

Council Member Fisette asked if they were able to get out of the contract and if so, how much did it cost them.

Mr. Guy said it did not cost them anything, the contract is written.. the reason why it is Sourcewell and TipsTaps, Enterprise was awarded the primary vendor specifically because their master agreement is written as open and there is no financial binding. He said each individual lease is an individual commitment in itself.

Council Member Roccaforte asked what was the advantage to do the lease versus the City buying the vehicles out right. He asked what is the City going to gain in the long run by basically paying a note every month versus the City going out an purchasing vehicles.

Mr. Guy said you mentioned in the long run that is where the value is going to be, in the short run you would get four vehicles whether you pay cash for or lease them, leasing is just funding or how you fund them. He said in the long run Enterprise selling a vehicle for the City rather the City selling the vehicle through the government auctions and the sources the City is required to sell them through is typically about a 40 to 50 percent swing on the resell piece.

Mr. Guy said he had one question, what is the approval process going forward.

Mayor Rutledge said the City Council would have a workshop and after the workshop they would go into a meeting and act on it.

Council Member Fisetete asked by leasing a vehicle the City would not own anything, is that correct, we would be renting vehicles from Enterprise.

Mr. Guy said Enterprise would hold title to the vehicle and the way the tax guidelines are set up now for anyone, including cities and counties the City could depreciate the vehicles on our books. He said the City could still list it as an asset on their books.

Council Member Fisetete asked if the City got out of the contract with Enterprise, they owned all the vehicles, the City owns none of them, what would happen at that point.

Mr. Guy said the master lease agreement is written they hold titles to the vehicles, but the City have the rights to the equity and essentially the vehicle itself. He said Enterprise would not be able to take the vehicles and sell them and capture the equity, contractually we could not do that. He said the City essential has all the control and equity in the vehicle.

Council Member Fisetete asked if the City cut out on a contract and say it is not for us, you sell us the vehicle, you give us the title and say thanks anyways or what. She said she wanted to get him on record.

Mayor Rutledge said if he had a car note and he wanted to sell the vehicle and still had a note on it then he would have to pay the bank off and then he would get the proceeds from the sale. Is that essentially the same thing?

Mr. Guy said that is it. He said if the City was ending the contract for some reason Enterprise would help the City sell the vehicles and they would settle up where our balance is at that time.

Mr. Fukuda said I think you said but not in these terms, he said I think you are saying whatever cars are under lease at the moment the City says we are going to discontinue the partnership, those continue on the lease under the same terms until the end of that lease term including the sell, including the equity return and all that.

Mr. Guy said yes just because the City says we are done with partnership doesn't mean the City has to turn the vehicles in right then. He said the City could keep running the vehicles out until the end of term as they were originally written even if submitted in writing.

Mr. Fukuda asked nothing really changes and new vehicles after the partnership ends would be on the City to purchase them however they want. He said those particular vehicles have nothing to do with Enterprise and the City would hold the titles to them.

Mr. Guy said correct.

Council Member Roccaforte asked would the City have the option to, since the City paid it off virtually, keep that vehicle.

Mr. Guy said Enterprise would not be able to transfer titles. He said they could not transfer a title over to the City.

Council Member Fisetete asked if the City would ever get a title.

Mr. Guy said no that is Enterprise's vehicle.

Ms. Fields asked if the interest rates fluctuated.

Mr. Guy said the City would be set with Sourcewell and the City would pay their interest rates.

6. CITIZEN COMMENTS

Kenneth Prosperie
819 Sunnyside

He said “I am here to talk about, I guess a lot of y’all notice there has been a lot of these little cars towing two cars together and they don’t appear to be, it doesn't appear to be like it is legally done and legally safe. Also, the car that is towing the two is missing a, this is the one I saw, the car was towing two cars didn’t look like it had a headlight. I’m sure it didn’t have an inspection sticker and a license plate. These are all cars that appear to come out wrecking yard. They don’t appear to be legally safe. We need to start pulling these over safety check inspections for insurance, brakes and tires. I am hearing Vidor has stopped them from going through their town down I-10 so they are rerouting through Bridge City. If this is true, then if it is not safe for Vidor, it is not safe for Bridge City. These cars have unibody frames which makes it really hard to safely attach tow equipment, you know that. And uh, they should be hauled by a car hauler just like everyone else has to do in commercial business. Even many, even our, tandem axle trailers many of them have to have electric brakes. This is a commercial business not just some poor family trying to get their broken car home. It needs to be done safely or not at all before some family gets killed by one of these towed cars coming loose hitting someone head on. I have already heard this happening in Beaumont a couple of years ago. Also, I am hearing 90% of the cars stopped by the police are uninsured, that sounds crazy, 90% that gets stopped are uninsured. We need to start impounding these vehicles and that are not insured instead of letting them drive off with no insurance. That’s my part on that now I want to talk about Sunnyside drainage. They did extensive engineer report on our street on our drainage. It was tabled I think in 2015, because we got a couple of driveways that are in the culverts are in backwards, its graded the wrong way. Pipes probably the wrong size, y’all came in did one side of the street it is working wonderful, our side. The other side of the street there’s still a big major issue because these two driveways are tied in with the third, but anyway. It needs to be looked into and I would invite Mr. Dixon being he is my neighbor down the road to come take a look and come talk to me about it. A lot of people run on drainage to get on the Council and I would love to get involved. Start looking at some of this, it’s a major problem. Thank y’all.”

7. REPORTS & COMMENTS

(a) City Manager

City Manager Brent Walker had the following report for Council:

He said the City has had some problems with lightening strikes and he believes the staff has those things fixed.

He has been preparing to get the bids on the street repairs ready for approval of the City Council.

He said he has been spending most of his time on the preparation of the budget for the workshops.

He said they would talk about insurance later in the meeting.

(b) City Council

Council Member Tammi Fiset thanked Shelley for her time in answering her questions.

(d) Mayor David Rutledge report:

He said the Golden Triangle Days are February 27, 2022 and February 28, 2022.

8. ORDINANCE

(a) Consideration and possible action to approve Ordinance No. 2022-10 authorizing the suspension of the effective date proposed by Entergy Texas, Inc. in connection with its rate increase application entitled “Application of Entergy Texas, Inc. for authority to change rates”; hiring of experts; authorizing the city’s participation with the public utility commission of Texas; requiring reimbursement of municipal rate case expenses; finding that the meeting complies with the open meetings act; and declaring an effective date

Mr. Fukuda read the caption of Ordinance No. 2022-10, thereby constituting the reading of the ordinance as required by City Charter, Section 3.10.

Council Member Roccaforte made a motion to approve Ordinance No. 2022-10, as read, authorizing the suspension of the effective date proposed by Entergy Texas, Inc., in connection with its rate increase application entitled “Application of Entergy Texas, Inc. for authority to change rates”; hiring of experts; authorizing the city’s participation with the public utility commission of Texas; requiring reimbursement of municipal rate case expenses; finding that the meeting complies with the open meetings act; and declaring an effective date, seconded by Council Member Dixon.

With no further discussion, Mayor Rutledge called for a vote.

MOTION CARRIED.

Ayes: Mayor Rutledge, Council Members Roccaforte, Fissette, Gauthier, and Dixon.

Noes: None.

Absent: Mayor Pro-Tem Reed and Council Member Collins.

9. ITEMS FOR DISCUSSION & POSSIBLE ACTION

(a) Consideration and possible action to approve the recommendation from the City Manager for the 2022-2023 fiscal year to purchase the following:

- **United Health Care for Employee Medical and Employee Dental**
- **Fort Dearborn for Employee Life and Accidental Death and Dismemberment Insurance**

Council Member Gauthier made a motion to approve the recommendation from the City Manager for the 2022-2023 fiscal year to purchase the following United Health Care for Employee Medical and Employee Dental and Fort Dearborn for Employee Life and Accidental Death and Dismemberment Insurance, seconded by Council Member Roccaforte.

With no further discussion, Mayor Rutledge called for a vote.

MOTION CARRIED.

Ayes: Mayor Rutledge, Council Members Roccaforte, Fiset, Gauthier, and Dixon.

Noes: None.

Absent: Mayor Pro-Tem Reed and Council Member Collins.

(b) Consideration and possible action to approve the July 7, 2022 City Council meeting minutes

Council Member Roccaforte made a motion to approve the July 7, 2022 City Council Meeting minutes, seconded by Council Member Fiset.

With no changes, discussion, or alterations, Mayor Rutledge called for a vote.

MOTION CARRIED.

Ayes: Mayor Rutledge, Council Members Roccaforte, Fiset, Gauthier, and Dixon.

Noes: None.

Absent: Mayor Pro-Tem Reed and Council Member Collins.

(c) Consideration and possible action to approve City bills for the month of June 2022

Council Member Gauthier made a motion to approve the City bills for the month of June 2022, seconded by Council Member Dixon.

With no further discussion, Mayor Rutledge called for a vote.

MOTION CARRIED.

Ayes: Mayor Rutledge, Council Members Roccaforte, Fiset, Gauthier, and Dixon.

Noes: None.

Absent: Mayor Pro-Tem Reed and Council Member Collins.

(d) Consideration and possible action to amend the bid from \$962,500 previously awarded to J & S Construction to \$954,500 to J & S Construction per recommendation of LJA Engineering

Council Member Roccaforte made a motion to amend the bid from \$962,500 previously awarded to J&S Construction to \$954,500 to J&S Construction per recommendation of LJA Engineering, seconded by Council Member Gauthier.

With no further discussion, Mayor Rutledge called for a vote.

MOTION CARRIED.

Ayes: Mayor Rutledge, Council Members Roccaforte, Fiset, Gauthier, and Dixon.

Noes: None.

Absent: Mayor Pro-Tem Reed and Council Member Collins.

(e) Consideration and possible action to approve Hurricane Harvey Acquisition/Buyout Program Guidelines Revision #1 related to clarifying Acquisition requirements and adding a Buyout component

Council Member Roccaforte made a motion to approve Hurricane Harvey Acquisition/Buyout Program Guidelines Revision #1 related to clarifying Acquisition requirements and adding a Buyout component, seconded by Council Member Dixon.

With no further discussion, Mayor Rutledge called for a vote.

MOTION CARRIED.

Ayes: Mayor Rutledge, Council Members Roccaforte, Fissette, Gauthier, and Dixon.

Noes: None.

Absent: Mayor Pro-Tem Reed and Council Member Collins.

10. ADJOURN

Council Member Gauthier made a motion to adjourn the meeting at 7:02 p.m., seconded by Council Member Roccaforte.

With no further discussion, Mayor Rutledge called for a vote.

MOTION CARRIED.

Ayes: Mayor Rutledge, Council Members Roccaforte, Fissette, Gauthier, and Dixon.

Noes: None.

Absent: Mayor Pro-Tem Reed and Council Member Collins.

David Rutledge, Mayor

ATTEST:

Jeanie McDowell, City Secretary